

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

A simple model for the calculation of self-diffusion

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1991 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 3 3173

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/3/18/011)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.147 The article was downloaded on 11/05/2010 at 12:05

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# A simple model for the calculation of self-diffusion

K Tankeshwar, B Singla and K N Pathak Department of Physics, Panjab University, Chandigarh-160014, India

Received 17 July 1990, in final form 25 January 1991

Abstract. We propose a simple model for the calculation of the self-diffusion coefficient of classical fluids. The model is based on the idea of separating the configurational space of a fluid system into a vibrational part and a structural part. There is no adjustable parameter in the model and the only input required is the interatomic potential. Using the model we have calculated the self-diffusion coefficients of the Lennard-Jones fluid, the one component plasma and the Yukawa fluid. The predicted results have been compared with recent computer simulation data and a good agreement has been achieved.

## 1. Introduction

It is well known that dynamical and transport properties of a physical system are embodied in time correlation functions. The exact calculation of the time correlation functions is not feasible because this amounts to solving the complicated many body problem. Time correlation functions do not reflect the details of atomic trajectories because they are ensemble averages. Therefore, it seems intuitively plausible to treat the system using a simplified description of atomic motion. Jumps models (Springer 1972) for the calculation of the diffusion constant are examples of such simplified descriptions (Martin and Yip 1968, Isbiester and McQuarrie 1972). In the present work, we propose a simple model for the calculation of self-diffusion coefficients of the classical system based on the extension of some ideas of Stillinger and Weber (1983). Using this model we have calculated the self-diffusion coefficients of Lennard-Jones (LJ) fluids, one component plasma (OCP) and Yukawa fluids. The predicted results have been compared with recent computer simulation data and a good agreement has been achieved.

In section 2, we propose the model for the calculation of self-diffusion coefficients. Calculation and results are given in section 3.

## 2. Model

For an identical N particle system, the self-diffusion coefficient 'D' is given by the Green-Kubo expression (Boon and Yip 1980)

$$D = \int_0^\infty V(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \qquad V(t) = \frac{1}{3N} \sum_i \langle \boldsymbol{v}_i(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_i(0) \rangle. \tag{1}$$

The exact calculation of the time development of the velocity auto-correlation function

(VACF), V(t), is not yet feasible except for in simple cases of atomic motion. For example, in dilute gases V(t) can be calculated using the binary collision approximation (McQuarrie 1976) whereas in crystalline solids only the vibrational (harmonic) motion is sufficient to calculate V(t). These two ideal cases have little in common. Attempts to extend either case to an intermediate state, i.e. dense fluids (liquids and dense gases), usually result in a model of liquid diffusion which must be classified as solid like or gas like rather than an appropriate hybrid of the two. Recently, Zwanzig(1983) and Mahanty (1985) have used the idea of separating the configuration space of a many body system into a vibrational part and stable packing part. Using similar arguments we propose a model for the calculation of V(t) and hence for the self-diffusion coefficients. Our model is based on the following assumptions:

(i) The configuration space of a many body system is divided into a number of cells. Each cell is characterized by a fixed configuration associated with local minima on the potential energy hypersurface of the system.

(ii) The system jumps between the cells with a certain jump frequency  $\tau^{-1}$ . The effect of the cell jump is to rearrange the equilibrium position of particles in a particular subvolume  $V^*$  of the total volume V of the system.

(iii) Within the cell the liquid configuration executes harmonic oscillations about local minima which are described by a fixed frequency  $\omega$ .

(iv) The waiting time distribution for the cell jump affecting the contents of any  $V^*$  is sech $(t/\tau)$ .

(v) The motion of the system in a particular subvolume is uncorrelated before and after the jump.

Our model differs from the models of Zwanzig (1983) and of Mahanty (1985) with respect to the assumptions (iii) and (iv). Our choice of the waiting time distribution is such that it provides more jumps at small times than at large times during the diffusion process. On the other hand our third assumption will make the calculation of the frequency  $\omega$  and time period of the jump  $\tau$  very simple. This enables us to make numerical predictions of the self-diffusion coefficients of the fluids as demonstrated below.

Within our assumptions (i) and (iii), the sum over particles in equation (1) becomes the sum over normal modes. The time dependence of the normal mode contribution in equation (1) is proportional to  $\cos(\omega t)$  until the motion in volume  $V^*$  is interrupted by a cell jump. The factor  $\operatorname{sech}(t/\tau)$  takes care of the interruption. This results in an expression for the VACF:

$$V(t) = (k_{\rm B} T/m) \operatorname{sech}(t/\tau) \cos(\omega t).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

The power spectrum of the VACF defined as

$$\hat{V}(\omega_1) = \int_0^\infty \cos(\omega_1 t) V(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \tag{3}$$

is obtained to be

$$\bar{V}(\omega_1) = (k_{\rm B}T/m)\pi\tau \left(\frac{\cosh[(\pi/2)\omega_1\tau]\cosh[(\pi/2)\tau\omega]}{\cosh(\pi\tau\omega_1) + \cosh(\pi\tau\omega)}\right). \tag{4}$$

The self-diffusion coefficient D is obtained to be

$$D = \tilde{V}(0) = (k_{\rm B}T/m)(\pi/2)\tau \operatorname{sech}(\pi\omega\tau/2).$$
(5)

It is clear from equation (5) that  $\omega \tau \ge 1$  corresponds to solid like behaviour and in this limit we obtain

$$D \to (k_{\rm B}T/m)\pi \exp[-(\pi/2)\omega\tau].$$
(6)

In order to make explicit predictions for D we estimate  $\omega$  and  $\tau$  from the short time property of the normalized VACF given by

$$(m/k_{\rm B}T) V(t) = 1 - V_2 t^2/2! + V_4 t^4/4! - V_6 t^6/6! + \dots$$
(7)

where  $-V_2$ ,  $V_4$  and  $-V_6$  are the second-, fourth- and sixth-frequency sum rules of the spectral function of the VACF. Comparing the short time expansion of equation (2) with equation (7) we obtain

$$\tau^{-2} = \delta_2/4$$
  $\omega^2 = (4\delta_1 - \delta_2)/4$ 

where  $\delta_1 = V_2$  and  $\delta_2 = (V_4/V_2 - V_2)$  are called Mori coefficients. From our earlier work (Tankeshwar *et al* 1987, 1990) we note that, for the LJ fluids,  $V_4$  increases with increase in temperature and  $V_2$  approaches a constant value at high temperature. Consequently,  $\omega^2$  becomes negative beyond the temperature and density at which  $V_4/V_2^2 = 5$  (or  $4\delta_1 = \delta_2$ ) and  $\tau$  remains positive. Then the form of V(t) is given as

$$V(t) = (k_{\rm B}T/m)\cosh(\omega' t)/\cosh(t/\tau)$$
(8)

where  $\omega'^{2} = (\delta_{2} - 4\delta_{1})/4$ .

For the power spectrum this provided

$$\bar{V}(\omega_1) = (k_{\rm B}T/m)\pi\tau \Big(\frac{\cos[(\pi/2)\tau\omega]\cosh[(\pi/2)\omega_1\tau]}{\cosh(\pi\tau\omega) + \cos(\pi\tau\omega_1)}\Big).$$
(9)

Equation (9) implies that for  $4\delta_1 \le \delta_2$ , the VACF ceases to oscillate and decays monotonically in time. For large times the form of V(t) is

$$V(t) = (k_{\rm B} T/m) \exp[-t(1/\tau - \omega')]$$
(10)

as one would expect for high temperature dilute gases. From equation (10) it can easily be seen that  $\omega'\tau = 1$  or  $4\delta_1/\delta_2 = 0$  corresponds to the case for an ideal gas with an infinite diffusion constant.

#### 3. Calculation and results

In order to calculate the self-diffusion coefficient from equation (5) we require  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  as inputs. The general expressions for these are given by Tankeshwar *et al* (1987). The calculation of  $\delta_1$  involves only the static pair correlation function g(r), whereas  $\delta_2$  involves g(r) and the static triplet correlation function,  $g_3(r, r')$  in addition to the interatomic potential. In the absence of any knowledge of the triplet correlation function, we have used a superposition approximation for it. Here it may be noted that this approximation has provided a good estimate of the triplet contribution to  $V_4$  as demonstrated in our earlier works (Tankeshwar *et al* 1990, Singla *et al* 1990). We therefore use



Figure 1. Variation in  $4\delta_1/\delta_2$  of the LJ fluids with density  $n^*$  for various temperatures,  $T^*$ , indicated along each curve.



Figure 2. The plot of  $n^*$  against  $T^*$  for which  $4\delta_1 = \delta_2$ .

the same procedure for the calculation of  $V_2$  and  $V_4$ . In the next subsection we present the results for the self-diffusion coefficients of LI fluids, OCP and Yukawa fluids, separately.

## 3.1. Lennard-Jones fluids

For the LJ fluids the numerical results of  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  have already been given in our earlier works (Tankeshwar et al 1987, 1990) for wide ranges of densities and temperatures. In figure 1 we have plotted  $4\delta_1/\delta_2$  as a function density  $n^*(=n\sigma^3)$  for various  $T^*(=k_{\rm B}T/\delta_2)$  $\varepsilon$ ),  $\sigma$  and  $\varepsilon$  being the parameters of the  $\Box$  potential. For  $4\delta_1 = \delta_2$ , V(t) ceases to oscillate, and therefore the horizontal line in figure 1 separates the phase of oscillatory behaviour of the VACF from that of monotonic decay of the function. From figure 1, we obtain  $n^*$ and T\* at which  $4\delta_1/\delta_2 = 1$ . These n\* and T\* are plotted in figure 2. The (n\*, T\*) points above the line in figure 2 correspond to thermodynamic states for which our model predicts back scattering or a negative minima in the decay of the VACF. On the other hand,  $(n^*, T^*)$  below the line correspond to a dilute system in which the VACF decays monotonically. The full circles and crosses in figure 2 represent the molecular dynamics (MD) (Kushick and Berne 1973, Levesque and Verlet 1970, Lee and Chung 1986)  $(n^*, T^*)$  states where the VACF does and does not show the back-scattering effect, respectively. Therefore, from figure (2) we find that the predictions of our model for the existence of a back-scattering effect in the Lennard-Jones system is in accordance with the computer simulation results.

In order to have an explicit picture of the time evolution and power spectrum of the VACF of Lennard-Jones fluids we have plotted these in figures 3 and 4, respectively. The normalized V(t) calculated from equation (2) for five thermodynamics states are shown as full curves whereas the MD results (Kushick and Berne 1973, Lee and Chung 1986) are shown as full circles in figure 3. It can be seen from figure 3, that a satisfactory agreement is obtained for a good span of time. However, our model does not predict the good agreement at long times. The long time behaviour of the calculated V(t) is clearly reflected in the small  $\omega_1$  behaviour of  $\tilde{V}(\omega_1)$  in figure 4 where the agreement is not satisfactory. However, our results for  $V(\omega_1)$  are comparable to the earlier existing



**Figure 3.** Variation in the normalized VACF  $\hat{V}(t)$  with time  $t^* = t(\varepsilon/m\sigma^2)^{1/2}$  obtained for five thermodynamic states of the LJ fluids; full curve—results obtained from equation (2); full circles—MD results (Kushick and Berne 1973, Lee and Chung 1986).



Figure 4. Normalized power spectrum of VACF for liquid argon at its triple point: full curves—results obtained from equation (4); empty circles—simulation results (Rahman 1964).

models (Berne et al 1966, Rahman et al 1962, Sears 1969). Overall, we find that our model is satisfactory for V(t).

The results for the diffusion coefficients  $D^* = D(m\varepsilon/\sigma^2)^{1/2}$  are obtained from equation (3) and  $D^*n^*$  are plotted in figure 5 as a function of density for six isotherms. The MD results of Heyes (1983, 1988) are also shown there. For a dilute gas  $D^*n^*$  is almost constant. With increasing density the particles will be arrested in the cages formed



Figure 5. Variation in the diffusion coefficients of  $\Box$  fluids with density at various temperatures. Our results.



Figure 6. Variation in diffusion coefficients of L fluids with temperature. Our results.

by their neighbouring particles and this results in a decrease in  $D^*n^*$  with increasing density as can be seen from figure 3. The temperature dependence of the diffusion constant is presented in figure 6 for five densities along with the corresponding computer simulation data (Heyes 1983, 1988). It can be seen from figures 5 and 6 that the agreement

ц,	Г	$V_2$ (in units of $\varepsilon n m^{-1}$ )	$V_4$ (in units of $\varepsilon^2 n a^{-3} m^{-2}$ )	D*	$D_{\rm MD}^*$
3.19	280	1.394	3.718	0.588	0.692
	4552	$9.384 \times 10^{-2}$	0.18415	0.677	0.615
7.14	3764	$9.802 \times 10^{-2}$	0.21288	0.892	1.039
	2769	$6.340 \times 10^{-2}$	0.00867	1.770	1.924
	38520	$7.015 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.1168 \times 10^{-3}$	1.400	1,192
10.10	29137	$8.414 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.5999 \times 10^{-3}$	1.978	2.001
	23120	$8.676 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.2102 \times 10^{-3}$	2.497	2.616

**Table 1.** Values of the sum rules  $V_2$  and  $V_4$  and the self-diffusion coefficients  $D^*$  of the Yukawa fluid  $(D^* = 2\pi D/V_2 a^2)$ .

is satisfactory over the entire fluid range. Our model also provides a good description of the density and temperature dependence of the self-diffusion coefficient.

## 3.2. Yukawa fluids

The fluid system of the particles interacting via Yukawa or screened Coulomb potentials provides a model for dense ionic plasmas in a polarizable electron background, where the screening is treated within the Thomas–Fermi approximation, or for charged stabilized colloidal dispersions where the screened Coulomb potential provides the interaction between the electron double layers surrounding the colloidal particles. The interaction potential for the Yukawa fluids is given as

$$\phi(r) = (\varepsilon/r) \exp(-Kr). \tag{11}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  has the dimensions of charge squared and K is the reciprocal of the screening length. We write equation (11) in a more convenient form as

$$\beta\phi(x) = (\Gamma/x) \exp(-\xi x) \tag{12}$$

where  $\beta = (k_{\rm B}T)^{-1}$ , x = r/a and  $\xi = Ka$ ;  $a = (1/n)^{1/3}$  is the ion sphere radius and *n* is the number density. The numerical results of  $V_2$  and  $V_4$  for the Yukawa fluid have been calculated using the molecular dynamics data for g(r) of Robbins *et al* (1987). The numerical results for these are given in table 1.

The time evolution of the normalized VACE  $\tilde{V}(t)$  of the Yukawa fluid is calculated from equation (2) using the values of  $V_2$  and  $V_4$  from table 1 for different values of  $\xi$  and  $\Gamma$ . The results obtained are presented as full curves in figure 7 for two typical values of  $\xi$  and  $\Gamma$ . The recent computer simulation results of Robbins *et al* (1987) are shown as full circles. From figure 7 it can easily be seen that the initial decay of the VACE is very well demonstrated by our model up until a time  $\frac{1}{3}\tau_E$  where  $\tau_E$  is related to the Einstein frequency  $\omega_E (=\sqrt{V_2})$  as  $\tau_E = 2\pi/\omega_E$ . For times greater than  $\frac{1}{3}\tau_E$ , our results predict a minimum whose position is in agreement with simulation data, although the amplitude of the oscillation is overestimated. It can also be seen that our theory shows enhancement of oscillations in V(t) as the screening parameter  $\xi$  decreases in agreement with simulation results. For times greater than  $\tau_E$ , the oscillations are found to be damped. The oscillations die out for  $t = 2\tau_E$ . On comparing the time period of oscillation with V(t), we have found that our theory overestimates it.

The results obtained for the coefficient of self-diffusion  $D^*$  (=  $D(2\pi/\omega_E a^2)$ ) from equation (6) along with the MD results of Robbins *et al* (1987) are given in table 1. It can



Figure 7. Variation of the normalized VACF  $V(t^*)$  with  $t^* = t/\tau_{\varepsilon}$  obtained for two values of  $\xi$  and  $\Gamma$ : full curves—our results obtained from equation (2); full circles—MD results of Robbins *et al* (1987).

be seen from table 1 that our model provides a good estimate for the self-diffusion coefficient of Yukawa fluids for various  $\xi$  and  $\Gamma$ .

From the results plotted in figure 7 for V(t) it can be seen that the time evolution of the vAC function is not very satisfactory. However, the area under the curve, i.e. the self-diffusion is quite satisfactory for most of the thermodynamic states.

#### 3.3. One-component plasma

In the study of charged fluid dynamics, the OCP of point charges interacting through repulsive Coulomb potential, immersed in a uniform background of positive charges, has been the simplest system. This system is characterized by a single parameter  $\Gamma = e^2/r_0 k_B T$ ; e and  $r_0$  are electron charge and ion-sphere radius. The expressions for  $\delta_1$ and  $\delta_2$  (or  $V_2$  and  $V_4$ ) are already known (Singh et al 1979). The numerical results for  $\delta_1$ and  $\delta_2$  obtained using computer simulation data of g(r) (Brush et al 1966, Hansen et al 1975) are plotted in figure 8 as a function of  $\Gamma$ . From figure 8 it can easily be seen that  $\delta_1$ is constant for all  $\Gamma$ , whereas  $\delta_2$  decreases with increasing  $\Gamma$ . From this and equation (6), it can easily be seen that the  $\Gamma$  dependence of self-diffusion is solely determined by  $\delta_2$ . We also find that  $\delta_2$  decreases rapidly with increasing  $\Gamma$  in the low  $\Gamma$  regime, whereas for large  $\Gamma \delta_2$  decreases slowly. The self-diffusion coefficient  $D^* = D/\omega_p r_0^2$  where  $\omega_p$  is the plasma frequency, calculated from equation (6) for the OCP system and plotted in figure 9 as a function of  $\Gamma$ . The MD results of Hansen et al (1975) are represented by full circles. It can be seen from figure 9 that our model explains well the  $\Gamma$  dependence of the self-diffusion coefficient. However, the results for the time evolution of V(t) are not

3180





Figure 8. Variations in  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  of OCP with  $\Gamma$ .

Figure 9. Variation in the self-diffusion coefficient of OCP with  $\Gamma.$ 

satisfactory for the OCP system. The agreement is only qualitatively similar to the earlier plotted results for the Yukawa fluid.

## 4. Conclusion

It is found that our model consistently predicts the value of the self-diffusion coefficients in agreement with simulation results for LJ fluids, Yukawa fluids and OCP. We also found that the prediction of our model for the existence of back-scattering effects for the Lennard-Jones fluids is in accordance with the available simulation data. Our model also simulates satisfactory time behaviour of the VACF of Lennard-Jones fluids. However, results for the VACF of the Yukawa and OCP are not satisfactory. It may be that the waiting-time distribution is more complicated for Yukawa fluids and OCP, and different from that for the LJ fluids. Here it may be noted that our model gives D = 0 for the solid phase. For this case,  $V_4 = V_2^2$  and hence the jump frequency is zero and the particle will be localized in local potential wells, implying no diffusion.

We have also investigated the use of the Gaussian and exponential models for the waiting-time distribution. It is found that results are very sensitive to the choice of this distribution. This is also noted by Mahanty (1985). In our theory the best results are obtained using  $\operatorname{sech}(t/\tau)$  as the waiting-time distribution.

#### Acknowledgments

One of us (KT) gratefully acknowledges financial assistance in the form of a fellowship provided by the University Grants Commission, New Delhi. This work is partially supported through a grant from the University Commission, New Delhi.

## References

Berne B J, Boon J P and Rice S A 1966 J. Chem. Phys. 45 1086 Boon J P and Yip S 1980 Molecular Hydrodynamics (New York: McGraw-Hill) Brush S G, Sahlin H L and Teller E 1966 J. Chem. Phys. 45 2102 Hansen J P, McDonald I R and Pollock E L 1975 Phys. Rev. A 11 1025 Heyes D M 1983 J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. II 79 1741 1988 Phys. Rev. B 37 5677 Isbiester D J and McOuarrie D A 1972 J. Chem. Phys. 56 736 Kushick J and Berne B J 1973 J. Chem. Phys. 59 3732 Lee L L and Chung T H 1986 J. Chem. Phys. 77 4650 Levesque D and Verlet L 1970 Phys. Rev. A 2 2514 Mahanty U 1985 Phys. Rev. A 32 3055 Martin P C and Yip S 1968 Phys. Rev. 170 151 McOuarrie D A 1976 Statistical Mechanics (New York: Harper and Row) Rahman A 1964 Phys. Rev. A 136 405 Rahman A, Singwi K S and Sjölander A 1962 Phys. Rev. 126 986 Robbins MO, Kremer K and Grest GS 1987 J. Chem. Phys. 88 3286 Sears V F 1969 Can. J. Phys. 47 199 Singh H B, Sharma A and Pathak K N 1979 Phys. Rev. A 19 899 Singla B, Tankeshwar K and Pathak K N 1990 Phys. Rev. A 40 4306 Springer T 1972 Quasielastic Neutron Scattering for Investigation of Diffusive Motion in Solids and Liquids (Berlin: Springer) Stillinger F H and Weber T A 1983 Phys. Rev. A 28 2408 Tankeshwar K, Pathak K N and Ranganathan S 1987 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 20 5749 1990 J. Phys: Condens. Matter 2 5891 Zwanzig R 1983 J. Chem. Phys. 79 4507